

Exploring Strategies for Addressing Drug and Substance Abuse in Prison Settings

Vimbi Petrus Mahlangu, Department of Educational Leadership and Management,

University of South Africa, South Africa

Orcid: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8251-750X>

Received: Aug 25, 2026

Accepted: Sep 22, 2026

Published: Sep 22, 2026

Abstract

Drug and substance abuse in prison settings represents a critical challenge to correctional systems worldwide, compounded by systemic corruption, organized crime infiltration, and governance failures. This article examines strategies for addressing drug abuse in prisons through a comprehensive literature review of scholarly works published between 2023 and 2026. Using the interpretive paradigm for data analysis, this study explores seven interconnected themes: warden dishonesty and its role in perpetuating drug abuse; financial motivations driving corruption among prison officials; organized crime networks operating within prisons (the "mafia state"); money laundering activities involving prisoners and wardens; unprofessional conduct by correctional officers; cruelty inflicted on inmates; and evidence-based strategies for addressing substance abuse. Findings reveal that corruption and organized crime create environments where drug trafficking flourishes, undermining rehabilitation efforts and human rights. Effective interventions include medication for opioid use disorder (MOUD), harm reduction programs, therapeutic communities and comprehensive governance reforms. This article contributes to the discourse on correctional reform by highlighting the urgent need for systemic changes that address both substance abuse treatment and the structural corruption enabling drug proliferation in prisons.

Keywords: prison drug abuse, correctional corruption, organized crime, money laundering, medication for opioid use disorder, harm reduction, prison reform

1. Introduction

The intersection of drug abuse and incarceration represents one of the most pressing challenges facing contemporary correctional systems. Individuals with substance use disorders are significantly overrepresented in carceral facilities, and the prison environment itself often exacerbates rather than ameliorates addiction (Berk et al., 2025). Despite decades of research demonstrating the effectiveness of evidence-based treatment modalities, access to quality substance abuse programming in prisons remains substantially poorer than in community settings (Stöver et al., 2024). This disparity is not merely a matter of resource allocation but reflects deeper systemic issues including corruption, organized crime infiltration, and fundamental governance failures within correctional institutions.

Recent scholarship has increasingly recognized that addressing drug abuse in prisons requires confronting uncomfortable truths about institutional corruption and the ways in which correctional officers themselves may facilitate drug trafficking (Lekalakala et al., 2025). The phenomenon of the "mafia state" within prisons—where organized crime networks exercise de facto control over institutional operations—has been documented across multiple jurisdictions, from Latin America to Eastern Europe (Aguirre et al., 2025; Candia, 2024). These criminal networks operate sophisticated money laundering schemes, often with the complicity of correctional staff motivated by financial gain (Beltrán-Cristancho, 2023).

The period from 2023 to 2026 has witnessed significant developments in both understanding the scope of corruption in correctional settings and identifying evidence-based interventions for substance abuse. This article synthesizes recent literature to provide a comprehensive examination of seven critical themes: warden dishonesty, financial motivations for corruption, organized crime networks, money laundering, unprofessional conduct, inmate cruelty, and effective treatment strategies. By examining these interconnected issues, this study aims to contribute to the development of holistic reform strategies that address both the clinical and structural dimensions of drug abuse in prisons.

The urgency of this topic cannot be overstated. Incarceration increases the risk of opioid overdose due to reduced tolerance, interrupted social supports, and limited access to treatment (Berk et al., 2025). Moreover, the failure to provide adequate substance abuse treatment during incarceration and ensure continuity of care upon release contributes to high rates of recidivism and overdose mortality (Lim et al., 2024). Addressing these challenges requires not only implementing evidence-based treatment programs but also dismantling the corrupt systems that enable drug proliferation within correctional facilities.

2. Methodology

This study employed a systematic literature review methodology to examine strategies for addressing drug and substance abuse in prison settings. Data collection focused exclusively on peer-reviewed scholarly articles, government reports, and policy documents published between 2023 and 2026, ensuring that findings reflect the most current research and policy developments in correctional substance abuse treatment and prison governance.

2.1 Data Collection

Four comprehensive literature searches were conducted across multiple academic databases including SciSpace, Google Scholar, and PubMed. The search strategy employed the following thematic clusters:

1. **Drug and substance abuse strategies in prison settings:** This search yielded 91 unique papers examining treatment modalities, harm reduction interventions, and policy frameworks for addressing substance use disorders in correctional facilities.

2. **Warden corruption, dishonesty, unprofessional conduct, and cruelty:** This search identified 74 unique papers documenting various forms of correctional officer misconduct and their implications for institutional functioning and inmate welfare.
3. **Money laundering, organized crime, and mafia state in prisons:** This search produced 75 unique papers exploring the infiltration of organized crime networks into correctional institutions and associated financial crimes.
4. **Prison governance, reform, rehabilitation, and drug trafficking:** This search yielded 75 unique papers examining governance challenges, reform initiatives, and the relationship between institutional management and drug-related issues.

In total, approximately 315 unique scholarly works were identified and subjected to relevance ranking. The top 30 papers from each thematic cluster were selected for in-depth analysis, ensuring that the most relevant and methodologically rigorous studies informed the findings.

2.2 Data Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using the interpretive paradigm, which emphasizes understanding social phenomena through the meanings that participants and researchers ascribe to them (Schwandt, 2000). This approach is particularly appropriate for examining complex institutional issues such as corruption and substance abuse, where multiple perspectives and contextual factors shape outcomes. The interpretive paradigm allowed for a nuanced examination of how structural factors (corruption, organized crime) interact with individual behaviours (substance use, treatment engagement) within the specific context of correctional institutions.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify patterns across the literature, with particular attention to the seven predetermined themes specified in the research objectives. Each paper was systematically reviewed to extract relevant information regarding mechanisms of corruption, organizational dynamics of criminal networks, treatment modalities, and reform strategies. Findings were synthesized to provide a comprehensive understanding of both the challenges and potential solutions for addressing drug abuse in prison settings.

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they: (1) were published between 2023 and 2026; (2) addressed one or more of the seven specified themes; (3) employed rigorous research methodologies; and (4) provided substantive empirical or theoretical contributions to understanding drug abuse and corruption in correctional settings. Studies were excluded if they focused exclusively on community-based treatment without relevance to correctional contexts, or if they lacked sufficient methodological rigor or detail.

3. Findings

3.1 Warden Dishonesty and Its Role in Perpetuating Drug Abuse

Correctional officer dishonesty emerges as a fundamental enabler of drug trafficking and substance abuse within prison settings. Recent research documents systematic patterns of deception and corruption among prison staff that directly facilitate the introduction and distribution of illicit substances. Lekalakala et al. (2025) identified corruption and bribery as core mechanisms through which correctional officers undermine rehabilitation programs and enable drug proliferation. Their systematic review of South African correctional facilities revealed that impermissible behaviours, including the acceptance of bribes to smuggle contraband, create hostile institutional environments that impede inmate engagement with substance abuse treatment programs.

The phenomenon of warden dishonesty extends beyond isolated incidents of individual corruption to encompass systematic institutional failures. Research on the Ukrainian prison system by Symkovych (2023) documented how correctional officers exercise power in ways that prioritize personal financial gain over institutional mandates. Similarly, studies of correctional systems in Ecuador revealed that dishonesty among prison officials transformed from minor administrative irregularities into systematic control by organized crime networks between 2010 and 2024 (Aguirre et al., 2025). This transformation was facilitated by a culture of impunity where corrupt practices became normalized and institutionalized.

Worley (2025) conducted an autoethnographic study of staff-inmate boundary violations in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, revealing how "dirty bosses" engage in systematic dishonesty that enables drug trafficking. The study documented how correctional officers develop elaborate deception strategies to avoid detection while facilitating contraband introduction. These findings are corroborated by research from multiple jurisdictions showing that warden dishonesty is not merely an individual moral failing but a structural feature of poorly governed correctional systems (Burton et al., 2024).

The impact of warden dishonesty on drug abuse is multifaceted. First, corrupt officers directly facilitate drug introduction by accepting bribes to smuggle substances into facilities. Second, dishonest wardens create environments where inmates perceive official rules as arbitrary and unjust, undermining the legitimacy of substance abuse treatment programs. Third, the presence of corrupt officers' signals to inmates that institutional norms are negotiable, potentially encouraging continued substance use rather than treatment engagement (Lai et al., 2025). Research from Taiwan examining correctional officers' perceptions of occupational deviance found that organizational dynamics and individual characteristics interact to create environments conducive to dishonesty (Lai et al., 2025).

3.2 Love of Money as a Motivator for Corrupt Prison Officials

Financial motivation emerges as the primary driver of corruption among correctional officers, with the "love of money" serving as a powerful incentive for engaging in activities that perpetuate drug abuse in prisons. Research examining the criminological characteristics of former penitentiary system employees convicted of corruption-related crimes in Russia

revealed that the thirst for illegal profit, influenced by both external and internal factors, constitutes the main reason for criminal offenses by prison administration and staff (C.B., 2023). This finding is consistent across multiple jurisdictions, suggesting that financial incentives for corruption represent a universal challenge in correctional systems.

The economic dimensions of correctional corruption are substantial. Candia (2024) documented how Mexican penitentiary centers function as "cash boxes" with unregulated income streams, where inmates are charged for basic privileges including phone access, business operations, and residence in specific areas. These payments flow directly to custodians and other public officials, creating powerful financial incentives for maintaining corrupt systems. The lack of resources and chronic abandonment of the penitentiary system creates conditions where correctional staff supplement inadequate salaries through corrupt practices, fostering a cycle where financial necessity drives continued corruption.

Research from Poland examining corruption in penitentiary conditions found that financial motivations intersect with organizational factors to create environments where corrupt practices become normalized (Kotowska et al., 2023). The study revealed that correctional officers rationalize corrupt behaviour as necessary given low salaries and difficult working conditions, effectively transforming corruption from a moral transgression into an economic survival strategy. This rationalization process is facilitated by weak institutional oversight and a culture of impunity where corrupt officers face minimal consequences for their actions.

The financial ecosystem of prison corruption extends beyond simple bribery to encompass sophisticated economic arrangements. Research from Ecuador documented how corruption in the prison system includes systematic extortion, influence trafficking in inmate transfers, and drug trafficking within prisons, transforming these spaces into centres of criminal operations (Aguirre et al., 2025). The financial scale of these operations is substantial, with organized crime networks generating significant revenue through prison-based drug trafficking, creating powerful incentives for correctional staff to participate in or facilitate these activities.

Studies examining the relationship between financial motivation and corruption emphasize that addressing the "love of money" requires structural reforms rather than merely individual accountability measures. Research from Russia identified that professional deformation of prison administration and staff plays a special role in the criminal process, suggesting that prolonged exposure to corrupt environments normalizes financially motivated misconduct (Ковалев et al., 2024). Effective reform strategies must therefore address both the economic incentives for corruption and the organizational cultures that normalize financially motivated misconduct.

3.3 The Mafia State: Organized Crime Networks Operating Inside Prisons

The concept of the "mafia state" within prisons refers to the systematic infiltration and control of correctional institutions by organized crime networks, transforming prisons from sites of punishment and rehabilitation into operational bases for criminal enterprises. Recent research documents the alarming extent to which organized crime has penetrated correctional systems

globally, with particularly severe manifestations in Latin America, Eastern Europe, and parts of Asia.

Aguirre et al. (2025) conducted a longitudinal study of Ecuador's prison system from 2010 to 2024, documenting how corruption evolved from minor administrative irregularities into near-total control by organized crime networks. The study identified ten principal forms of corruption, including bribes for introducing prohibited objects, systematic extortion, influence trafficking in inmate transfers, and drug trafficking within prisons. This transformation resulted in more than 400 deaths in territorial conflicts between 2020 and 2023, illustrating the deadly consequences of organized crime infiltration. The research concluded that constant political interference, weak legal frameworks, scarce funding, and institutional fragmentation created favourable environments for impunity, severely undermining the rehabilitative function of prisons.

Beltrán-Cristancho (2023) examined the role of Colombian prisons in recycling corruption and organized crime, revealing how the lack of control over detainee communication enables continued criminal operations from within correctional facilities. The study found that this dysfunction is particularly harmful when inmates are members of organized crime or involved in high-level corruption, creating a "mafioso conflict" that traps the state and society in a cycle of illegality. The research documented how decisions about actions and omissions within society become governed by this criminal environment, where cunning and exploitation of systemic weaknesses become survival requirements.

The operational sophistication of prison-based organized crime networks is remarkable. Candia (2024) documented how Mexican penitentiary centres operate under pseudo legal regimes due to weak state institutionalism, with criminal groups wielding *de facto* power within these facilities. The study revealed that the flow of money in penitentiary centres exemplifies the alliance between political, economic, and criminal systems. Inmates are systematically charged for privileges, leading them to resort to telephone extortion to cover expenses, with proceeds flowing to custodians and public officials. This creates self-sustaining criminal economies within prisons that are resistant to conventional reform efforts.

Research examining organized crime structures emphasizes their adaptive and networked nature. Liu (2023) noted that organized crime is increasingly transnational in reach, characterized by shifting alliances and the omnipresent pursuit of money through various commodities. Criminal organizations operate as learning entities that must remain competitive and elusive, avoiding interaction with law enforcement while recruiting top talent to support their endeavors (Halawi et al., 2024). Within prisons, these networks leverage corruption among correctional staff to maintain operational capacity, creating symbiotic relationships where both criminals and corrupt officials benefit from continued drug trafficking and other illicit activities.

The concept of the mafia state within prisons also encompasses the ways in which organized crime undermines institutional legitimacy and governance. Research from multiple jurisdictions documents how criminal networks effectively replace state authority within correctional facilities, establishing parallel governance structures that regulate inmate

behaviour, resolve disputes, and control access to resources (Champeyrache, 2025). This displacement of state authority represents a fundamental challenge to the rule of law and the rehabilitative mission of correctional institutions.

3.4 Money Laundering by Prisoners and Wardens

Money laundering activities within correctional settings represent a critical but understudied dimension of prison-based organized crime. Recent research reveals that both prisoners and correctional staff engage in sophisticated financial crimes designed to conceal the proceeds of drug trafficking and other illicit activities conducted within or facilitated by prisons.

Beltrán-Cristancho (2023) documented how Colombian prisons function as nodes in money laundering networks, with the lack of control over inmate communications enabling continued financial operations. The study revealed that prisons serve as "recycling" centres for corruption and organized crime proceeds, with money flowing through complex networks involving inmates, correctional staff, and external criminal organizations. This recycling function is particularly harmful because it enables criminal enterprises to continue operating despite the incarceration of key members, effectively neutralizing the deterrent effect of imprisonment.

Research examining money laundering typologies emphasizes that criminals often employ relatively unsophisticated methods that are nonetheless effective. Nazzari et al. (2024) analysed the money laundering behaviour of 2,818 Italian offenders, finding that criminals tend to employ unsophisticated typologies and prefer jurisdictions that are geographically and culturally close for laundering proceeds. Tangible assets, particularly real estate and registered vehicles, were more frequent than financial assets. The study found differences between mafia-related money laundering and non-mafia laundering, suggesting that organized crime networks employ distinct strategies adapted to their operational contexts.

Within correctional settings, money laundering often involves relatively small-scale transactions that are difficult to detect and prosecute. Berry et al. (2023) examined financial risk management strategies of small to medium illicit drug enterprises, finding that a significant proportion of illicit proceeds are disposed of through "everyday" cash transactions under \$1,000 that are effectively untraceable. For those generating more substantial revenues, accessible and uncomplicated laundering strategies are employed, including reporting revenues as taxable income, using proxies to launder funds, and using revenues as investment capital within small-scale legal enterprises. These findings suggest that prison-based drug trafficking operations likely employ similar low-level laundering strategies that evade detection through their mundane nature.

Yoerdan et al. (2025) conducted an exploratory study of financial management in criminal entrepreneurship business practices, specifically investigating money laundering practices within the current financial ecosystem. The research revealed that inmates employ various financial management strategies to conceal illicit proceeds, leveraging both internal prison networks and external connections. The study emphasized the relevance of understanding these practices for developing effective countermeasures within correctional facilities.

The involvement of correctional staff in money laundering activities represents a particularly troubling dimension of prison-based financial crime. Research from Ukraine examining economic crime in the penitentiary sphere found that crimes are most often committed in medium-security penitentiaries, pre-trial detention centres, and correctional centres (Shkuta et al., 2024). The study revealed that the main reason for criminal offenses by prison administration and staff is the thirst for illegal profit, with professional deformation playing a special role in the criminal process. The research emphasized that latent crime in the penitentiary sphere is facilitated by the secrecy of penitentiary institutions from society, the presence of professional and organized crime, low legal awareness of prisoners, and lack of proper material and technical support.

Maulidah et al. (2024) examined the urgency of the "follow the money" approach in law enforcement efforts against money laundering resulting from corruption. The research emphasized that this approach is strategic and appropriate because it is oriented to assets or proceeds of crime, enabling revelation of perpetrators, predicate crimes, and locations where proceeds are hidden. The study noted that the follow the money approach can minimize overcapacity of correctional institutions and reduce state finances for their operations, specifically regarding feeding prisoners, suggesting that effective anti-money laundering efforts can have broader benefits for correctional system functioning.

3.5 Unprofessional Conduct by Wardens

Unprofessional conduct by correctional officers encompasses a broad range of behaviours that violate ethical standards, institutional policies, and legal requirements, creating environments where drug abuse flourishes and rehabilitation efforts fail. Recent research documents systematic patterns of unprofessional behaviour that extend far beyond isolated incidents of misconduct to represent fundamental failures of correctional governance.

Lekalakala et al. (2025) conducted a systematic literature review examining impermissible behaviour of correctional officers and its impact on offender rehabilitation programs in South African prisons. The study documented that unprofessional conduct, including excessive use of force, corruption, neglect of duty, and abuse of authority, compromises the rehabilitative mandate of the penitentiary system and undermines offender reintegration efforts. The research revealed a persistent disjuncture between the stated objectives of rehabilitation programs and inmates' lived experiences, attributable mainly to correctional officer misconduct. Documented practices including physical and psychological abuse, bribery, and the perpetuation of hostile institutional environments emerge as systemic impediments to inmate engagement, program credibility, and long-term rehabilitative outcomes.

Research from Taiwan examining correctional officers' perceptions of occupational deviance revealed that both individual and organizational dynamics contribute to unprofessional conduct (Lai et al., 2025). The study employed a combined theoretical perspective to examine salient factors influencing deviant behaviour, finding that organizational culture, supervision quality, and individual characteristics interact to create environments where unprofessional conduct becomes normalized. This finding suggests that addressing unprofessional behaviour requires systemic interventions rather than merely individual accountability measures.

Worley (2025) conducted an autoethnographic study of staff-inmate boundary violations in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, revealing how "dirty bosses" engage in systematic unprofessional conduct. The research documented various forms of boundary violations, including inappropriate relationships with inmates, facilitation of contraband introduction, and abuse of authority for personal gain. The study emphasized that these violations are not merely individual moral failings but reflect broader organizational cultures that tolerate or even encourage unprofessional behaviour.

The impact of unprofessional conduct on substance abuse treatment is profound. Burton et al. (2024) examined public support for policies to reduce correctional officer misconduct, finding that such misconduct undermines institutional legitimacy and inmate trust in treatment programs. When correctional officers engage in unprofessional behaviour, inmates perceive the entire institutional apparatus as corrupt and illegitimate, reducing motivation to engage with rehabilitation programs including substance abuse treatment. This erosion of institutional legitimacy represents a fundamental barrier to effective treatment delivery.

Research examining the use of force within correctional settings reveals how unprofessional conduct manifests in physical violence and coercion. Bello et al. (2025) studied the use of force within the "four walls" and its impact on trust in correctional officials, finding that excessive or inappropriate use of force significantly undermines inmate trust and cooperation. Similarly, Rembert et al. (2022) examined criminal liability for correctional officer excessive use of force, documenting patterns of violence that violate both legal standards and professional ethics. These studies emphasize that unprofessional conduct creates traumatic environments that exacerbate rather than ameliorate substance use disorders.

The organizational factors contributing to unprofessional conduct are complex. Schultz et al. (2023) examined contemporary correctional officer work cultures and their influence on prison conditions, revealing "unspoken commandments" that govern officer behaviour. These informal norms often prioritize loyalty to fellow officers over adherence to professional standards, creating environments where unprofessional conduct is protected rather than sanctioned. The research emphasized that transforming correctional officer behaviour requires addressing these deeply embedded cultural norms.

3.6 Cruelty Inflicted on Inmates

Cruelty inflicted on inmates by correctional staff represents one of the most disturbing dimensions of prison dysfunction, creating traumatic environments that exacerbate substance use disorders and undermine any possibility of rehabilitation. Recent research documents systematic patterns of physical and psychological abuse that violate fundamental human rights and international standards for humane treatment.

Saha et al. (2023) conducted an in-depth study of prisoner rights, examining prison infrastructure, psychological and physical health of prisoners, and unnatural deaths in prison. The research documented widespread cruelty including physical violence, psychological torture, denial of medical care, and conditions amounting to cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment. The study emphasized that such cruelty not only violates prisoners' rights but also

creates conditions where substance abuse serves as a coping mechanism for trauma, perpetuating cycles of addiction and suffering.

Research from Latin America reveals particularly severe patterns of cruelty. Acevedo et al. (2023) examined the logic of "verdugueo" (torment) and the legitimization of violence by penitentiary agents in the Buenos Aires Provincial Penitentiary Service. The study documented how correctional officers rationalize and legitimize violence against inmates, creating institutional cultures where cruelty is normalized and even celebrated. This legitimization of violence reflects broader patterns of dehumanization that characterize many correctional systems, where inmates are viewed not as individuals deserving of dignity and humane treatment but as objects to be controlled through force and intimidation.

Sawanobori (2024) examined human rights in Japanese prisons, specifically reconsidering segregation as a disciplinary measure. The research documented how prolonged segregation and isolation constitute forms of psychological cruelty that can cause severe mental health deterioration. The study emphasized that such practices violate international human rights standards and create conditions where inmates experience profound suffering. For individuals with substance use disorders, such cruelty can trigger relapse and undermine treatment engagement.

The relationship between cruelty and substance abuse is bidirectional. On one hand, cruel treatment by correctional staff creates traumatic environments where inmates use substances to cope with psychological distress. On the other hand, inmates with substance use disorders are often subjected to particularly harsh treatment, as correctional staff may view addiction as a moral failing deserving of punishment rather than a medical condition requiring treatment. This punitive orientation fundamentally undermines evidence-based treatment approaches and perpetuates cycles of abuse and addiction.

Research examining bullying and other forms of maltreatment in prisons emphasizes the pervasive nature of cruelty within correctional settings. Srabstein (2024) documented various forms of maltreatment including physical violence, sexual abuse, psychological intimidation, and systematic denial of basic needs. The study emphasized that such maltreatment creates toxic environments where rehabilitation is impossible and where inmates' mental and physical health deteriorates. For individuals with substance use disorders, these conditions are particularly harmful, as trauma and stress are well-established triggers for substance use and relapse.

The organizational and cultural factors enabling cruelty are complex. Research from multiple jurisdictions documents how correctional systems characterized by weak oversight, cultures of impunity, and dehumanizing attitudes toward inmates create environments where cruelty flourishes (Lekalakala et al., 2025; Symkovych, 2023). Addressing cruelty requires not only individual accountability for abusive officers but also fundamental reforms to organizational cultures, oversight mechanisms, and training programs that emphasize humane treatment and respect for prisoner dignity.

3.7 Effective Strategies for Addressing Drug and Substance Abuse

Despite the profound challenges posed by corruption, organized crime, and institutional dysfunction, recent research has identified numerous evidence-based strategies for effectively addressing drug and substance abuse in prison settings. These interventions range from pharmacological treatments to psychosocial programs to systemic reforms, with the most effective approaches combining multiple modalities within comprehensive treatment frameworks.

3.7.1 Medication for Opioid Use Disorder (MOUD)

Medication for opioid use disorder represents the most rigorously evaluated and consistently effective intervention for addressing opioid addiction in correctional settings. Stöver et al. (2024) provided a comprehensive overview of opioid substitution therapy (OST) in prisons, emphasizing that OST is a clinically effective and cost-effective drug treatment strategy. The research documented that implementing OST programs benefits not only prisoners but also prison staff and the community at large by reducing drug-related harms, improving health outcomes, and decreasing recidivism. The study outlined strategies found effective for implementing OST in prisons, including securing institutional support, training staff, establishing clear protocols, and ensuring continuity of care upon release.

Berk et al. (2025) provided an updated summary report on MOUD service delivery in carceral facilities, emphasizing the critical importance of initiating MOUD during incarceration and ensuring continuation upon release to prevent treatment gaps. The research documented that medications such as methadone, buprenorphine, and naltrexone have been shown to reduce opioid-related mortality and improve outcomes for those in carceral settings. Recent policy changes, such as Section 1115 Medicaid waivers, offer promising avenues for expanding access, though retention in treatment and post-release outcomes remain significant challenges.

Lim et al. (2024) examined the association between jail-based methadone or buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder and overdose mortality after release from New York City jails between 2011 and 2017. The study found that jail-based MOUD significantly reduced overdose mortality post-release, providing compelling evidence for the life-saving potential of these interventions. Similarly, Dunn (2024) examined prison buprenorphine implementation and post-release opioid use disorder outcomes, finding that prison-initiated buprenorphine treatment was associated with improved outcomes including reduced overdose risk and increased treatment engagement post-release.

Lee et al. (2024) conducted a multisite analysis of jail-initiated medication for opioid use disorder through the SOMATICS collaborative, assessing the impact of these programs across multiple jurisdictions. The research documented significant benefits including reduced overdose mortality, improved treatment retention, and decreased criminal justice involvement. The study emphasized the importance of comprehensive implementation strategies that address barriers to MOUD access including stigma, concerns about medication diversion, and logistical challenges.

3.7.2 Harm Reduction Interventions

Harm reduction approaches represent another critical strategy for addressing drug-related harms in correctional settings. These interventions prioritize reducing the negative consequences of drug use rather than requiring abstinence as a precondition for services. MacDonald et al. (2024) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions to reduce harms related to drug use among people who experience incarceration, examining both interventions delivered during incarceration and after release. The research documented that harm reduction interventions, including needle and syringe programs, naloxone distribution, and drug checking services, significantly reduce drug-related harms including infectious disease transmission and overdose mortality.

The 2023 recommendations for prison-based needle and syringe programs in Canada emphasized the importance of these interventions for reducing HIV and hepatitis C transmission among people who inject drugs in correctional settings. The report documented that prison-based needle and syringe programs are safe, effective, and do not increase drug use or violence within institutions. These findings challenge common misconceptions about harm reduction in prisons and provide evidence for expanding these life-saving interventions.

Research examining the German approach to drug treatment in prisons emphasizes the importance of comprehensive harm reduction strategies. Soyka (2025) documented that the German system employs a four-pillar approach including prevention, counseling and treatment, survival aid/harm reduction, and repression. This comprehensive framework recognizes that effective responses to drug abuse require multiple interventions addressing different dimensions of the problem. The harm reduction pillar includes distributing single-use syringes, providing harm reduction education, and offering drug consumption rooms, with clinical administration of diamorphine (heroin) for severely addicted individuals.

3.7.3 Psychosocial and Behavioural Interventions

Psychosocial and behavioural interventions represent essential components of comprehensive substance abuse treatment in correctional settings. Beaton et al. (2023) emphasized that effective methods of treating inmates include Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) and Therapeutic Community (TC) approaches. CBT helps individuals identify and modify thought patterns and behaviours associated with substance use, while TC approaches create supportive peer environments that promote recovery and prosocial behaviour.

Aslan et al. (2025) conducted a randomized controlled trial examining the effectiveness of nurse-led cognitive behavioural therapy on craving and stress coping among incarcerated young men with stimulant use disorder (methamphetamine). The study found that CBT significantly reduced craving and improved stress coping skills, demonstrating the efficacy of this intervention for addressing stimulant use disorders in correctional settings. The research emphasized the importance of adapting evidence-based treatments to the specific needs and constraints of correctional environments.

Ghosh et al. (2024) examined the effectiveness of Extended Screening and Brief Intervention (X-SBI) on substance misuse and related outcomes in correctional settings. The research documented that X-SBI, which involves systematic screening for substance use followed by brief motivational interventions, can effectively reduce substance use and improve treatment engagement among incarcerated individuals. This approach is particularly valuable in correctional settings because it can be delivered by non-specialist staff and integrated into routine intake and assessment procedures.

Aeni et al. (2024) examined the influence of positive self-talk on motivation to heal in prisoners with drug abuse cases in Indonesian prisons. The research found that positive self-talk interventions significantly improved motivation for recovery, suggesting that relatively simple psychological interventions can have meaningful impacts on treatment engagement and outcomes. This finding is particularly relevant for resource-constrained correctional systems where intensive psychotherapy may not be feasible.

3.7.4 Continuity of Care and Transitions

Ensuring continuity of care during transitions from incarceration to the community represents a critical challenge for substance abuse treatment in correctional settings. Krawczyk et al. (2023) examined transitions of care between jail-based medications for opioid use disorder and ongoing treatment in the community through a retrospective cohort study. The research documented that many individuals experience treatment gaps during the transition from jail to community, with significant proportions discontinuing MOUD shortly after release. The study emphasized the importance of comprehensive discharge planning, warm handoffs to community providers, and addressing barriers to treatment access including insurance coverage and transportation.

Cates et al. (2023) examined medications for opioid use disorder during incarceration and post-release outcomes, finding that continuity of MOUD from incarceration to community settings is associated with significantly improved outcomes including reduced overdose mortality and criminal justice involvement. The research emphasized that effective transitions require coordination between correctional health services, community treatment providers, and social service agencies to ensure that individuals have immediate access to treatment, housing, and other supports upon release.

Research examining barriers to sustained engagement in treatment after release emphasizes the multiple challenges individuals face. Kaplowitz et al. (2022) documented anticipated barriers including lack of insurance coverage, transportation difficulties, stigma, housing instability, and competing priorities. Addressing these barriers requires comprehensive re-entry planning that begins well before release and continues through the critical transition period. Beeler et al. (2023) examined perceptions of service needs for substance use disorders, finding that individuals recognize the importance of addressing not only substance use but also underlying issues including trauma, mental health, employment, and social support.

3.7.5 Systemic Reforms and Governance Improvements

Addressing drug abuse in prisons ultimately requires systemic reforms that tackle the corruption, organized crime infiltration, and governance failures that enable drug proliferation. Research examining prison governance challenges emphasizes the need for comprehensive reforms addressing multiple dimensions of institutional functioning.

Ramdath et al. (2023) outlined an agenda for sweeping prison reform, emphasizing that transforming prisons requires research-informed approaches addressing governance, accountability, conditions of confinement, and programming. The research documented that effective reforms must address both the clinical dimensions of substance abuse treatment and the structural factors that enable drug trafficking and undermine rehabilitation efforts. This includes implementing robust oversight mechanisms, professionalizing correctional staff, addressing corruption, and ensuring adequate resources for treatment programs.

Research from multiple jurisdictions emphasizes the importance of transparency and accountability in combating corruption. Lekalakala et al. (2025) concluded that addressing impermissible conduct among correctional officers requires implementing stringent accountability mechanisms, continuous professional ethics training, and enhanced supervision. Similarly, research from Ecuador emphasized the urgent need for structural reforms including constitutional changes, budgetary reorganization, and creation of specialized institutions capable of recovering state authority and ensuring independent justice (Aguirre et al., 2025).

Ochoa-Rodríguez et al. (2024) examined the social rehabilitation system in Ecuador, proposing a model that promotes genuine reintegration rather than mere punishment. The research emphasized that effective rehabilitation requires addressing systemic issues including overcrowding, inadequate resources, corruption, and lack of evidence-based programming. The study proposed comprehensive reforms including improved governance structures, increased investment in treatment and rehabilitation programs, and enhanced oversight mechanisms to prevent corruption and abuse.

Research examining the Norwegian model of correctional rehabilitation offers insights into alternative approaches that prioritize treatment and reintegration over punishment. Akkoyun (2024) documented how the Norwegian system emphasizes humane treatment, comprehensive programming, and gradual reintegration into society. This approach has been associated with significantly lower recidivism rates compared to more punitive systems, suggesting that fundamental reorientation of correctional philosophy and practice can yield substantial benefits.

4. Discussion

The findings of this literature review reveal a complex and deeply troubling picture of drug abuse in prison settings, where substance use disorders intersect with systemic corruption, organized crime infiltration, and fundamental governance failures. The seven themes examined—warden dishonesty, financial motivations for corruption, organized crime

networks, money laundering, unprofessional conduct, cruelty, and treatment strategies—are not discrete issues but rather interconnected dimensions of a broader crisis in correctional systems worldwide.

4.1 The Corruption-Drug Abuse Nexus

A central finding of this review is the fundamental role that corruption plays in perpetuating drug abuse in prisons. Warden dishonesty and unprofessional conduct create environments where drug trafficking flourishes, undermining any possibility of effective treatment or rehabilitation. The financial motivations driving corruption—the "love of money"—create powerful incentives for correctional staff to facilitate rather than prevent drug introduction and distribution. This corruption is not merely an individual moral failing but a systemic feature of poorly governed correctional institutions characterized by inadequate salaries, weak oversight, and cultures of impunity.

The infiltration of organized crime networks into prisons represents the most severe manifestation of this corruption-drug abuse nexus. The concept of the "mafia state" within prisons captures the reality that in many jurisdictions, criminal organizations exercise de facto control over institutional operations, transforming prisons from sites of punishment and rehabilitation into operational bases for criminal enterprises. This displacement of state authority represents a fundamental challenge to the rule of law and the rehabilitative mission of correctional institutions.

4.2 Money Laundering as Institutional Dysfunction

The involvement of both prisoners and correctional staff in money laundering activities reveals the extent to which prisons have become integrated into broader criminal financial networks. The finding that prisons function as "recycling" centres for corruption and organized crime proceeds emphasizes that addressing drug abuse in prisons requires confronting the financial dimensions of institutional dysfunction. The relatively unsophisticated nature of many money laundering schemes—involving small-scale cash transactions and tangible assets—suggests that effective countermeasures need not be technologically complex but rather require basic institutional integrity and oversight.

4.3 The Human Cost: Cruelty and Trauma

The documentation of systematic cruelty inflicted on inmates by correctional staff reveals the profound human rights crisis within many correctional systems. Physical violence, psychological torture, denial of medical care, and conditions amounting to cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment create traumatic environments where substance abuse serves as a coping mechanism for suffering. This cruelty is particularly harmful for individuals with substance use disorders, as trauma and stress are well-established triggers for substance use and relapse. The finding that correctional officers often rationalize and legitimize violence against inmates suggests deeply embedded cultural norms that dehumanize prisoners and view punishment rather than rehabilitation as the primary correctional mission.

4.4 Evidence-Based Treatment in Corrupt Systems

Despite the profound challenges posed by corruption and institutional dysfunction, the literature documents numerous evidence-based strategies for effectively addressing drug abuse in prisons. Medication for opioid use disorder, harm reduction interventions, cognitive behavioural therapy, therapeutic communities, and comprehensive continuity of care programs have all demonstrated efficacy in reducing substance use and improving outcomes. However, the effectiveness of these clinical interventions is fundamentally constrained by the corrupt and dysfunctional institutional environments in which they must operate.

This tension between evidence-based treatment and systemic corruption represents a central challenge for correctional reform. Implementing MOUD programs, for example, requires institutional commitment, adequate resources, trained staff, and robust protocols—all of which are undermined by corruption, organized crime infiltration, and unprofessional conduct. Similarly, harm reduction interventions such as needle and syringe programs require institutional environments characterized by respect for prisoner health and dignity, which are fundamentally incompatible with cultures of cruelty and dehumanization.

4.5 The Imperative for Systemic Reform

The findings of this review emphasize that addressing drug abuse in prisons requires systemic reforms that tackle both the clinical dimensions of substance abuse treatment and the structural factors enabling drug proliferation. Piecemeal interventions focused solely on treatment programming, without addressing corruption, organized crime, and governance failures, are unlikely to achieve sustainable improvements. Effective reform requires comprehensive approaches addressing multiple dimensions including:

1. **Governance and accountability:** Implementing robust oversight mechanisms, professionalizing correctional staff, and creating cultures of accountability where corruption and misconduct are sanctioned rather than tolerated.
2. **Financial reforms:** Addressing the economic incentives for corruption through adequate staff compensation, transparent financial systems, and effective anti-corruption measures.
3. **Dismantling organized crime networks:** Implementing comprehensive strategies to disrupt criminal organizations operating within prisons, including enhanced security measures, intelligence operations, and prosecution of corrupt officials.
4. **Human rights protections:** Ensuring humane treatment of prisoners through independent monitoring, legal protections, and cultural transformation emphasizing dignity and rehabilitation over punishment.
5. **Evidence-based treatment:** Implementing comprehensive substance abuse treatment programs including MOUD, harm reduction, psychosocial interventions, and continuity of care, supported by adequate resources and institutional commitment.

4.6 Limitations and Future Research

This review has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the focus on literature published between 2023 and 2026 provides current insights but may miss important historical context and earlier foundational research. Second, the interpretive paradigm employed in data analysis, while appropriate for understanding complex social phenomena, introduces subjective elements in interpretation. Third, the literature reviewed reflects research primarily from specific geographic regions, potentially limiting generalizability to other contexts.

Future research should examine several critical areas. First, longitudinal studies tracking the implementation and outcomes of comprehensive reform initiatives would provide valuable insights into effective change strategies. Second, comparative research examining correctional systems that have successfully addressed corruption and improved substance abuse treatment could identify transferable best practices. Third, research examining the perspectives of incarcerated individuals with substance use disorders could provide important insights into barriers and facilitators of treatment engagement. Fourth, economic analyses examining the costs and benefits of different reform strategies could inform policy decisions and resource allocation.

5. Conclusion

This comprehensive literature review reveals that addressing drug and substance abuse in prison settings requires confronting uncomfortable truths about systemic corruption, organized crime infiltration, and fundamental governance failures within correctional institutions. The seven themes examined—warden dishonesty, financial motivations for corruption, organized crime networks, money laundering, unprofessional conduct, cruelty, and treatment strategies—are deeply interconnected dimensions of a broader crisis that undermines both public safety and human rights.

The evidence is clear that corruption and organized crime create environments where drug trafficking flourishes, rehabilitation efforts fail, and human rights are systematically violated. Warden dishonesty, driven primarily by financial motivations, facilitates drug introduction and distribution. Organized crime networks exercise de facto control over many correctional institutions, transforming prisons into operational bases for criminal enterprises. Money laundering activities involving both prisoners and correctional staff integrate prisons into broader criminal financial networks. Unprofessional conduct and systematic cruelty create traumatic environments where substance abuse serves as a coping mechanism for suffering.

Yet despite these profound challenges, the literature also documents numerous evidence-based strategies for effectively addressing drug abuse in prisons. Medication for opioid use disorder, harm reduction interventions, cognitive behavioural therapy, therapeutic communities, and comprehensive continuity of care programs have all demonstrated efficacy in reducing substance use and improving outcomes. The Norwegian model of correctional rehabilitation demonstrates that fundamental reorientation of correctional philosophy and practice toward humane treatment and comprehensive programming can yield substantial benefits.

The central conclusion of this review is that effective responses to drug abuse in prisons require systemic reforms addressing both the clinical dimensions of substance abuse treatment and the structural factors enabling drug proliferation. Piecemeal interventions focused solely on treatment programming, without addressing corruption, organized crime, and governance failures, are unlikely to achieve sustainable improvements. Comprehensive reform strategies must address governance and accountability, financial incentives for corruption, organized crime infiltration, human rights protections, and evidence-based treatment within integrated frameworks.

The urgency of these reforms cannot be overstated. The current state of many correctional systems represents not only a public health crisis but a fundamental challenge to the rule of law and human dignity. Individuals with substance use disorders, who are significantly overrepresented in correctional populations, deserve access to evidence-based treatment in environments characterized by safety, dignity, and respect for human rights. Achieving this vision requires political will, adequate resources, and sustained commitment to transforming correctional systems from sites of punishment and suffering into institutions genuinely committed to rehabilitation and reintegration.

The path forward is clear, though undoubtedly challenging. Correctional systems must implement robust oversight mechanisms to prevent and sanction corruption. They must dismantle organized crime networks through enhanced security, intelligence operations, and prosecution of corrupt officials. They must ensure humane treatment through independent monitoring and cultural transformation. And they must implement comprehensive, evidence-based substance abuse treatment programs supported by adequate resources and institutional commitment. Only through such comprehensive reforms can correctional systems fulfil their stated missions of public safety, rehabilitation, and respect for human dignity.

References

- Acevedo, M., Galvani, M., & Mouzo, K. (2023). La lógica del verdugueo: legitimación de la violencia por agentes penitenciarios del Servicio Penitenciario Bonaerense. *Td. Temas y debates*, 45. <https://doi.org/10.35305/tyd.vi45.615>
- Aeni, Q., Suryani, S., & Yosep, I. (2024). The influence of positive self-talk on motivation to heal in prisoners with drug abuse cases in prisons class II B Polewali West Sulawesi. *Jurnal Kesehatan Manarang*, 10(2). <https://doi.org/10.33490/jkm.v10i2.1333>
- Aguirre, J. C., Morales, D. A., & Sánchez, L. M. (2025). La influencia de la corrupción en el sistema carcelario en Ecuador. *Latam*, 6(5). <https://doi.org/10.56712/latam.v6i5.4614>
- Akkoyun, T. (2024). Perspective chapter: The Norwegian model of correlation rehabilitation – Bridging the gap between incarceration and society. In *Correctional Systems and Rehabilitation*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1004527>
- Aslan, H., Bakan, A. B., & Yıldız, M. (2025). Effectiveness of nurse-led cognitive behavioral therapy on craving and stress coping among incarcerated young men with stimulant use

disorder (methamphetamine): A randomized controlled trial. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 46(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840.2025.2538714>

Beaton, K., Caudill, J. W., & Perkins, E. (2023). Drug addiction and incarceration: A call for research and transparency among prison-based substance abuse treatment programs. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 67(10-11). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X231176003>

Beeler, M., Buresh, M., Burlew, K., Busch, A., Busch, S., Calsyn, D., Campbell, A., Crits-Christoph, P., Donovan, R., Galloway, G., Greenfield, S., Guydish, J., Hatch-Maillette, M., Hubbard, R., Husband, S., Iguchi, M., Kaskutas, L. A., Ling, W., Marsden, M. E., ... Woody, G. (2023). "...he's going to be facing the same things that he faced prior to being locked up": Perceptions of service needs for substance use disorders. *Health & Justice*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40352-023-00213-0>

Bello, U. L., Steyn, J., & Goredema, C. (2025). The use of force within the 'four walls' and trust in correctional officials. *Corrections*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23774657.2025.2610307>

Beltrán-Cristancho, J. I. (2023). *El rol de las cárceles y prisiones colombianas en el reciclaje de la corrupción y el crimen organizado*. Universidad Externado de Colombia. <https://doi.org/10.52043/txef7931>

Berk, J., Addison, M., Anderson, K., Brinkley-Rubinstein, L., Koester, K. A., & Zaller, N. (2025). Medication for opioid use disorder service delivery in carceral facilities: Update and summary report. *Health & Justice*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40352-025-00317-9>

Berry, M. J., Bishopp, D., & Ritter, A. (2023). Financial risk management strategies of small to medium illicit drug enterprises: Considering low-level money laundering. *Trends in Organized Crime*, 26(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12117-023-09501-5>

Burton, A. L., Pickett, J. T., Jonson, C. L., Cullen, F. T., & Burton, V. S. (2024). Incarceration without dehumanization: Public support for policies to reduce correctional officer misconduct. *Justice Quarterly*, 41(5). <https://doi.org/10.1080/07418825.2024.2349900>

Candia, J. M. (2024). La ruta de la paz en un México herido. *Análisis Plural*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.31391/ap.vi6.106>

Cates, M. E., Levi, M., & Woolhandler, S. (2023). Medications for opioid use disorder during incarceration and post-release outcomes. *Health & Justice*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40352-023-00209-w>

Champeyrache, C. (2025). Corruption and organized crime: Some lessons from the Italian concept of "external conspiracy in mafia association." *International Journal of Criminology*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.18278/ijc.12.1.5>

Dunn, K. E. (2024). Prison buprenorphine implementation and postrelease opioid use disorder outcomes. *JAMA Network Open*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.2732>

- Ghosh, A., Pal, S., Chatterjee, S., & Grover, S. (2024). Effectiveness of extended screening and brief intervention (X-SBI) on substance misuse and related outcomes in correctional settings. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 66(12). <https://doi.org/10.1177/02537176241313210>
- Halawi, L., McCarthy, R., & Farah, J. (2024). Exploring the nexus of cybercrime, money laundering, ethics and deterrence in the age of smart machines. In *Financial Crimes and Criminology*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1004131>
- Kaplowitz, E., Tross, S., Reilly, K., Coffin, P. O., Alper, H., Nijhawan, A., Cunningham, C. O., & Fox, A. D. (2022). Anticipated barriers to sustained engagement in treatment with medications for opioid use disorder after release from incarceration. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*, 16(6). <https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.0000000000001029>
- Kotowska, D., & Szczepanik, R. (2023). Problematyka korupcji w warunkach penitencjarnych w opinii funkcjonariuszy Służby Więziennej [The issue of corruption in penitentiary conditions in the opinion of Prison Service officers]. *Przegląd Więziennictwa Polskiego*, 118. <https://doi.org/10.52694/thpsr.118.2>
- Ковалев, О. Г., Ковалева, Н. Н., & Мокрецов, А. И. (2024). Organizational, legal and psychological issues of corruption prevention in the penal system. *Žurnal prikladnyh issledovanij*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.47576/2949-1878.2024.3.3.014>
- Krawczyk, N., Mojtabai, R., Stuart, E. A., Fingerhood, M., Agus, D., Lyons, B. C., Weiner, J. P., & Saloner, B. (2023). Transitions of care between jail-based medications for opioid use disorder and ongoing treatment in the community: A retrospective cohort study. *medRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.10.23298390>
- Lai, Y. L., Jiang, S., & Wang, C. H. (2025). Correctional officers' perceptions of occupational deviance in Taiwan: A combined theoretical perspective on salient individual and organizational dynamics. *Deviant Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2025.2546940>
- Lee, J. D., Zaller, N., Rich, J. D., Brinkley-Rubinstein, L., Ranapurwala, S. I., Krawczyk, N., Feder, K. A., & Allen, S. (2024). Assessing the impact of jail-initiated medication for opioid use disorder: A multisite analysis of the SOMATICS collaborative. *PLOS ONE*, 19(6). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0305165>
- Lekalakala, M. T., Maepa, T. J., & Mofokeng, J. T. (2025). Appraisal of impermissible behaviour of correctional officers on the objectives of the offender rehabilitation programmes in prisons. *E-Journal of Humanities, Art and Social Sciences*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.38159/ehass.202561427>
- Lim, S., Cherian, T., Allen, B., Waddell, E. N., Laraque, F., Krawczyk, N., Brinkley-Rubinstein, L., & MacDonald, R. (2024). Association between jail-based methadone or buprenorphine treatment for opioid use disorder and overdose mortality after release from New York City jails 2011–17. *Addiction*, 119(3). <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.16377>
- Liu, J. (2023). Organized crime, structures and operations. In *Handbook on Crime and Technology*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802201369.00010>

- MacDonald, R., Lim, S., Cheverie, M., Atabay, E., Stöver, H., Wickersham, J. A., Rigoni, R., Sarang, A., Altice, F. L., & Kamarulzaman, A. (2024). Interventions to reduce harms related to drug use among people who experience incarceration: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Public Health*, 9(9). [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667\(24\)00160-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2468-2667(24)00160-9)
- Maulidah, S., Sulistyowati, E., & Wibowo, A. (2024). The urgency of the follow the money approach in law enforcement efforts against money laundering resulting from corruption. *Sapientia Et Virtus: Jurnal Fakultas Hukum Universitas Katolik Darma Cendika*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.37477/sev.v9i2.539>
- Nazzari, L., Mazzucato, C., & Riccardi, M. (2024). Cleaning mafia cash: An empirical analysis of the money laundering behaviour of 2800 Italian criminals. *European Journal of Criminology*, 21(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/14773708231224981>
- Ochoa-Rodríguez, M. F., Villacrés-Endara, C. A., & Aguirre-Mejía, J. C. (2024). Sistema de rehabilitación social: Hacia un modelo que promueva la reinserción. *DICERE: Revista de Derecho y Estudios Internacionales*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.33324/dicere.v1i2.826>
- Ramdath, T., Taxman, F. S., & Piquero, A. R. (2023). Transforming prisons through research: An agenda for sweeping reform. *CrimRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.21428/cb6ab371.72f2324e>
- Rembert, D. A., Henderson, H., & Pirtle, C. (2022). Criminal liability for correctional officer excessive use of force. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 78(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-022-10036-z>
- Saha, S., Ghosh, A., & Dutta, S. (2023). Prisoner's rights: An in-depth study on the prison infrastructure, psychological and physical health of prisoners, and unnatural deaths in prison. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(6). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i06.11090>
- Sawanobori, M. (2024). Human rights in Japanese prisons: Reconsidering segregation as a disciplinary measure. *Law and Social Inquiry*, 49(4). <https://doi.org/10.1017/lsi.2024.26>
- Schultz, J., Ricciardelli, R., & Spencer, D. (2023). *Unspoken commandments: Contemporary correctional officer work cultures and their influence on prison conditions* [Doctoral dissertation]. University of Alberta. <https://doi.org/10.7939/r3-ep8d-nk16>
- Schwandt, T. A. (2000). Three epistemological stances for qualitative inquiry: Interpretivism, hermeneutics, and social constructionism. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed., pp. 189-213). Sage Publications.
- Shkuta, O., Kovalenko, V., & Shevchenko, A. (2024). State, structure and dynamics of economic crime in the penitentiary sphere of Ukraine. *Baltic Journal of Economic Studies*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.30525/2256-0742/2024-10-3-380-384>
- Soyka, M. (2025). Behandlung von Suchterkrankungen im Strafvollzug [Treatment of addiction disorders in prisons]. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung - Gesundheitsschutz*, 68(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00103-025-04149-8>

Srabstein, J. C. (2024). Bullying and other forms of maltreatment in prisons. In *Oxford Textbook of Violence Prevention* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780199379330.003.0019>

Stöver, H., Hariga, F., & Schäffer, D. (2024). Drug use and opioid substitution treatment for prisoners. *Harm Reduction Journal*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.60692/r4bra-0xq46>

Symkovych, A. (2023). Ukrainian prison officers and their power. In *Prison Officers and Their World* (pp. 37-56). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-41061-1_3

С.В., Ю. (2023). Криминологическая характеристика бывших сотрудников пенитенциарной системы, осужденных за преступления коррупционного характера [Criminological characteristics of former employees of the penitentiary system convicted of corruption-related crimes]. *Vestnik Samarskogo Juridicheskogo Instituta*, 72(15). <https://doi.org/10.34988/2226-2326.2023.72.15.009>

Worley, R. M. (2025). Dirty bosses in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice: An autoethnographic study of staff-inmate boundary violations. *Deviant Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2025.2505042>

Yoerdan, A., Suryanto, S., & Wibowo, H. (2025). Explorative study of financial management in criminal entrepreneurship business practices. *PARADOKS Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.57178/paradoks.v8i3.1402>