Reversals of Anthropocentric Power and Relational Otherness in Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild," Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation*, N. K. Jemisin's *The Fifth Season*, and China Miéville's *Embassytown*

By

Adweta Rani Bedbak[1]

Research Scholar, Department of English, Rajendra University, Balangir

ORCID: 0009-0008-6082-7976

&

Dr Pratap Kumar Dash[2]

Associate Professor, Department of English, Rajendra University, Balangir

ORCID: 0000-0002-5875-7933

Received:Oct 02, 2026 Accepted:Oct 30, 2026 Published:Oct 30, 2026

Abstract

Speculative fiction has long staged encounters with the alien, the monstrous and the nonhuman to confirm the human as the stable centre from which otherness is measured. This article argues that four contemporary works invert that arrangement and make the human the Other: Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild" (1984), Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation* (2014), N. K. Jemisin's *The Fifth Season* (2015) and China Miéville's *Embassytown* (2011). In each text, humans are displaced from the position of knowing, naming and governing subject, and are instead hosted, studied, transformed, racialised or rendered linguistically marginal by nonhuman or dehumanising forces. Drawing on critical posthumanism, Donna Haraway's multispecies relationality, Emmanuel Levinas's ethics of alterity, and Black studies critiques of the category "human", the article develops a comparative model of four modes of reversal: bodily-reproductive, ecological-epistemological, social-geological and linguistic-ontological. Close readings show that the reversals go further than swapping master and servant: they expose the human as a relational, vulnerable and historically constructed position. The article contends that the texts move beyond the inversion of hierarchy toward an ethics of relational otherness, in which survival and meaning depend on negotiated, often painful, forms of coexistence. It concludes that the four works share a speculative project: unmaking human exceptionalism while refusing to romanticise the relations that replace it.

Keywords: posthumanism; alterity; anthropocentrism; speculative fiction; Octavia E. Butler; Jeff VanderMeer; N. K. Jemisin; China Miéville; multispecies ethics; Levinas; racialisation; the New Weird

[1]Author one, [2]Author two

1. Introduction

The classic grammar of science fiction places the human at the centre of the encounter. The alien arrives, or is arrived upon, and the human observes, classifies, fears, conquers or befriends it. Darko Suvin's definition of the genre as a literature of "cognitive estrangement" presumes a stable cognising subject who is estranged and then returns, enlightened, to the familiar (Suvin 7–8). The Other exists to teach the self something about itself.

A significant strand of contemporary speculative fiction refuses this arrangement. It asks what happens when the human becomes the alien, the hosted body, the specimen, the subordinated caste, or the speaker who cannot be heard. In Octavia E. Butler's "Bloodchild," human refugees serve as incubators for the larvae of the insectoid Tlic. In Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation*, scientists enter Area X, an ecological zone that rewrites their bodies. In N. K. Jemisin's *The Fifth Season*, orogenes, people who can sense and move the earth, are bred, trained and killed by a society that classes them as less than human. In China Miéville's *Embassytown*, human colonists depend on the Ariekei, whose language humans cannot natively speak, and a human woman becomes a figure of speech in an alien tongue.

These writings extend feminist and Afrofuturist science fiction, the New Weird, geological science fantasy and fiction. Across the four, the reversal of anthropocentric power looks like a family of strategies, each targeting a diverse pillar of human exceptionalism: the sovereign body, the knowing mind, the universal category of humanity, and language as the sign of reason. Inverting the pyramid would only change who holds the whip; the article argues that these texts move past inversion toward *relational otherness*: a condition in which the human is defined through dependence, vulnerability and negotiated cohabitation rather than domination.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Butler and the politics of the hosted body

Critical evaluation of "Bloodchild" has been shaped by a dispute over whether the story allegorises slavery or something else. Butler discarded that reading, calling it a love story, a coming-of-age story and her "pregnant man story" (Butler, "Afterword" 30). Elyce Rae Helford reads the Tlic power structure as a parallel illustration for gender relations under patriarchy, since men bear the pain of childbirth, while the alien reproductive cycle complicates human gender absolutes and biological roles in Butler, who tends to fix social ones (Helford). Kristen Lillvis, drawing on Hortense Spillers, argues that the history of reproductive slavery here gives Gan access to “a nonphallic maternal authority”, reconciling Butler's "love story" with critics' "story of slavery" (Lillvis). Anupom Kumar Hazarika reads T'Gatoi's authority and Gan's feminised position as a sex-role reversal resisting colonial gender binaries (Hazarika), and Gerry Canavan places the story within Butler's lifelong concern with symbiosis and coercion (Canavan). Less interpreted it as a critique of *species* exceptionalism, in which the human is the tamed animal.

2.2 VanderMeer and the limits of human knowing

Scholarship on *Annihilation* concentrates on ecology, the Anthropocene and the Weird. Finola Anne Prendergast argues that the novel's horror registers human anxiety at an ethics granting equal value to human and nonhuman life, since such an ethics restricts human freedom (Prendergast). Jim Coby reads it as presenting an ecology that will not obey human rules (Coby 15–16); Benjamin Robertson treats VanderMeer's fiction as an experiment in narrating worlds beyond human scale (Robertson); and Hossein Mohseni shows that the expedition's demarcating tools fail because Area X is unmappable (Mohseni). Timothy Morton's dark ecology and Mark Fisher's account of the eerie recur as touchstones (Morton, *Dark Ecology*; Fisher). This work establishes the epistemological humiliation of the human but less often asks how the human becomes the *object* of another agency's attention.

2.3 Jemisin, race and the geological

Alastair Iles reads the Broken Earth trilogy as linking racial and environmental injustice (Iles). María Ferrández San Miguel argues that it frames the subjugation of orogenes and the exploitation of the earth as twin traumas while allowing a regenerative posthuman ethics (Ferrández San Miguel). Misha Grifka Wander reads it through Axelle Karera's critique of race-blind Anthropocene ethics (Wander); Danny Steur offers a decolonial reading through Alexander Weheliye's racialising assemblages (Steur); and Regina Y. Lee proposes a "tectonic" reading in which the novel's three-strand structure reproduces geological processes (Lee). Less attention has gone to how the novel decentres the human before a planet, "Father Earth," that has agency and grievances.

2.4 Miéville, language and alterity

Embassytown criticism focuses on language. Joseph P. Weakland reads its "languages of estrangement" as defamiliarising human signification (Weakland); Alexandra Maria Ivan examines Ariekei Language through the Sapir–Whorf hypothesis (Ivan); and Lynn Turner offers a Derridean reading linking language to an ethics of ingestion, arguing that the novel repeats the question of the animal through the alien and engaging feminist objections to its reliance on a young girl's suffering (Turner). Ursula K. Le Guin's *Guardian* review hailed it as a major achievement of linguistic science fiction (Le Guin). Less explored is how the novel inverts the colonial linguistic encounter: here humans are the ones who cannot speak.

2.5 Comparative and theoretical scholarship

Rosi Braidotti's *The Posthuman* critiques "Man" as the measure of all things (Braidotti); Francesca Ferrando's *Philosophical Posthumanism* insists on a post-dualistic account of the human (Ferrando); and Donna Haraway elaborates multispecies "becoming with" and "response-ability" (Haraway, *When Species Meet*; *Staying*). Sylvia Wynter's critique of the overrepresentation of "Man" (Wynter), Zakiyyah Iman Jackson's work on Black humanity (Jackson) and Kathryn Yusoff's account of geology as racial violence (Yusoff) complicate any universal human that posthumanism claims to leave behind. Comparative studies routinely pair Butler with Jemisin and VanderMeer with Miéville, but no sustained study reads all four around the problem of the human-made Other.

3. Research Gap, Research Questions and Objectives

3.1 Research gap

The literature shows three patterns. Criticism of these texts is largely single-author or paired along familiar lines, Butler with Jemisin and VanderMeer with Miéville. Posthumanist readings tend to address one register of otherness at a time, whether gender, ecology, race or language. And where criticism addresses anthropocentrism, it usually frames the texts as *humbling* the human, a gesture that leaves the human intact as the subject who is humbled. Few studies examine the human as the object of another's power, gaze or meaning-making, or ask what ethical relations follow. This article offers the first sustained comparative reading of the four works around that problem, with a typology of reversal that cuts across subgenre boundaries.

3.2 Research questions

1. Through which mechanisms (bodily, ecological, social-geological, linguistic) do "Bloodchild," *Annihilation*, *The Fifth Season* and *Embassytown* displace the human from the position of sovereign subject?
2. Do these reversals merely invert hierarchies, or do they dismantle the logic of hierarchy itself?
3. What ethical relations, in Levinasian and posthumanist terms, emerge once the human is no longer the measure of otherness?
4. How do the texts reveal that the category "human" is unevenly distributed, so that some humans were Other before any alien arrived?

3.3 Research objectives

1. To design a comparative context of four modes of anthropocentric reversal.
2. To analyse, through close reading, how each text renders the human hosted, studied, racialised or linguistically marginal.
3. To focus on posthumanism, multispecies studies and Levinasian alterity in dialogue with Black studies critiques of the human.
4. To estimate relational otherness as an alternative to both anthropocentric mastery and naive interspecies harmony.
5. To demonstrate how speculative form, not only content, enacts the decentering of the human.

4. Theoretical Framework and Methodology

4.1 Critical posthumanism

Rosi Braidotti says the humanist subject, based on the Vitruvian Man, was never universal. It was really a male, able-bodied rational ideal. This ideal was created by setting itself against others who were seen as sexualized, racialized and naturalized. Braidotti points out that this idea of the human was never truly inclusive (Braidotti 13–16). Instead, it relied on exclusion to define itself. She offers a view. Her alternative is a zoe-centred egalitarianism. In this view, life itself

becomes the centre of concern. Not the human. Life. That's the shift. Life is what matters. Francesca Ferrando also talks about posthumanism. She says posthumanism breaks down the dualisms that humanism depends on (Ferrando 54–60); think about the divide between human and animal, and culture and nature. These are not divisions. They are constructed. Posthumanism helps us see how these divisions are built. It questions what the term "human" actually does in our thinking.

4.2 Multispecies relationality

Donna Haraway argues that beings are instituted through "becoming with" one another (Haraway, *When Species Meet* 16–17) and develops "response-ability," the ability to respond to others within entwined, inadequate and damaged worlds (Haraway, *Staying* 34–35). Haraway does not venerate these relations; they include unevenness, use, and even killing. This matters for "Bloodchild" and *Embassytown*, where interspecies dependence is neither slavery nor romance.

4.3 Levinasian alterity and its posthuman extensions

For Emmanuel Levinas, the face of the Other interposes the self's drive to totalize and own, calling it to responsibility (Levinas 194–97). Levinas dithered to extend the face beyond the human; Jacques Derrida contests philosophy's single line between "the human" and "the animal" as a violent abstraction (Derrida 29–31). This article extends Levinas purposely, asking what happens when the human *becomes* the face before another, when human susceptibility summons, or fails to summon, a nonhuman responsibility.

4.4 Black studies and the unequal human

Posthumanism threatens to announce the end of a "human" that many were never allowed to reside. Sylvia Wynter argues that Western modernity overrepresented one genre of the human, "Man," as the human itself (Wynter 260–63). Alexander Weheliye describes racialising groupings that "discipline humanity into full humans, not-quite-humans, and nonhumans" (Weheliye 4). Zakiyyah Iman Jackson shows that Black people have been subjected to a violent elasticity, made to stand for both human and animal (Jackson 3–4), and Kathryn Yusoff shows that geology, as a science of extraction, has been bound up with classifying people as property (Yusoff 2–5). "The human as the Other" is thus also a historical condition, which speculative problems can make visible.

4.5 Methodology

The study uses comparative close reading, attending to the mechanisms that displace the human, the relations that replace mastery and the ethical positions these make available. The texts are organised by the framework below; its categories are heuristic, and every text participates in more than one mode. Thus, the dominant mode of analysis for both "Bloodchild" and *Annihilation* is related to interspecies, bodily, reproductive relations, whereas, in the case of *The Fifth Season*, it is social, embodied, geological relations; and *Embassytown*'s focus is devised based on linguistic, cognitive, ontological components.

5. The Hosted Body: Reproductive Otherness in "Bloodchild"

5.1 The Preserve and the domestication of the human

"Bloodchild" opens on a sentence that compresses its problem: "My last night of childhood began with a visit home" (Butler, "Bloodchild" 3). Childhood does not come to an end by age but by function; Gan comes of age when he becomes useful to another species. The human refugees live on the Preserve, a space the Tlic government has set aside for them, and the word marks them as an accomplished population, closer to a game reserve than a nation. The colonial narrative is reversed: instead of settlers organising native life for use, the human arrivals are the organised life.

T'Gatoi, the Tlic official bound to Gan's family for generations, is loving, defensive and influential, and she regards Gan as hers. The family is fed sterilized eggs that extend life and induce pleasant intoxication, an image of dependency engineered by the overriding party. Braidotti's analysis of the self-possessed humanist subject (Braidotti 13–16) is dramatised in reverse: the human body is a reserve held in trust by another species.

5.2 Witnessing the birth: vulnerability as knowledge

The dominant scene is the alternative extraction of Tlic grubs from Bram Lomas, whose larvae begin to eat their way out while his Tlic partner is absent. Forced to assist T'Gatoi in cutting Lomas open, Gan acquires the knowledge that he was raised to see as an honour which is a trial of pain and risk. Helford reads the scene through gender, as placing men in the position of childbearing women (Helford); Lillvis reads it through the maternal authority born of procreative slavery (Lillvis). The scene also works on the species line. Gan's horror is that his body is legible to the Tlic in ways it is not to himself. T'Gatoi knows where the foods are, how long they have and how to eliminate them. Human self-knowledge, the foundation of humanist sovereignty, is subsidiary to alien expertise in the human body.

In Levinasian terms, Lomas's opened body is nothing but a face in extreme that commands response. The responding agent is T'Gatoi, and her response is at once care and use: she saves Lomas and secures her offspring. The ethical encounter is real but unequal, and Butler resolves it into neither mistreatment nor love.

5.3 Consent, choice and the gun

Gan then challenges T'Gatoi with the family's unlawful rifle and considers killing himself rather than becoming a host. T'Gatoi asks whether he would truly prefer death to bearing her young, the question Helford gets from her title (Butler, "Bloodchild"; Helford). Gan chooses implantation, but on new terms: the Tlic must stop hiding births from humans and must accept the risk of dealing with a partner rather than an animal. The gun, sign of human authority, is not rejected but converted over; T'Gatoi lets the family keep it.

Gan neither reclaims sovereignty nor accepts animal status. He claims a position within an unequal relationship from which he can make demands, an instance of Haraway's responsible ability (Haraway, *Staying* 34–35). Butler's afterword insists on symbiosis rather than slavery

(Butler, "Afterword" 30–31); read alongside Wynter and Jackson, this reframes rather than erases the historical echoes, asking what relations are possible for beings already made Other. The human in "Bloodchild" is Other biologically, as host species; politically, as managed population; and ethically, as the vulnerable face whose claims a more powerful being must hear.

6. The Studied Subject: Ecological and Epistemological Otherness in *Annihilation*

6.1 The tower that was not supposed to be there

Annihilation opens with an object that defeats the expedition's maps: "The tower, which was not supposed to be there, plunges into the earth" (VanderMeer 3). Area X is not unknown in the way unexplored territory is, waiting to be filled in; it produces structures that contradict prior knowledge. The biologist calls the structure a tower although it descends; the others call it a tunnel. Human language already fails to agree on what it sees.

The outing members are known only by function and deprived of using names. This concealment signals the Southern Reach's control, but it also forestalls the larger move of the novel. Unnamed, monitored, entranced, conditioned and possibly duplicated, the expedition members are already specimens before Area X begins its own sampling.

6.2 The words on the wall and the reversal of the gaze

Inside the tower, words are written along the wall in living fungal matter, a sentence beginning with "the strangling fruit" and unwinding in a quasi-scriptural cadence. Writing, the technology humans used to classify and govern nature, is now produced by the nonhuman, and its meaning is inaccessible. The biologist, trained to read organisms, cannot read a text written by one. Inhaling spores from the writing, she begins to change, a transformation she calls "the brightness." Her body becomes the site of an experiment run not by the Southern Reach but by Area X. Prendergast argues that the novel's horror registers the fear of an ethics that limits human freedom (Prendergast); the brightness literalises that limit through contamination rather than argument.

The scientific gaze, one of humanism's privileged instruments (Braidotti 13–16), is turned around. Area X appears to study its visitors, absorbing and reproducing them. The lighthouse holds a vast pile of expedition journals, far more than the official record of prior expeditions allows, suggesting that the human archive itself has been collected. The humans who came to gather data have become data.

6.3 Borders, dissolution and the eerie

The biologist's husband, from the previous expedition, recorded in his journal a growing suspicion of the very idea of borders (Coby 15). Coby reads this as an invitation to imagine an ecology that refuses human rules (Coby 15–16), and Mohseni shows that the expedition's demarcating tools fail before an unmappable zone (Mohseni). To doubt the border is to doubt a human "outside" from which nature can be managed. Fisher's eerie, a failure of absence or presence that raises the question of agency (Fisher 61–62), names the novel's governing question: not "what is this place?" but "what is acting here, and on whom?" Morton's dark

ecology, an uncanny rather than harmonious coexistence with what we cannot know (Morton, *Dark Ecology* 5–7), is staged from inside a body losing its boundaries.

6.4 Toward relational otherness

The biologist finally chooses to remain in Area X and follow the coast, as her husband had attempted. She no longer seeks to master or flee the zone; she consents to be changed while keeping a curiosity recognisably her own. Area X is a Levinasian infinity that exceeds comprehension (Levinas 194–97), and her transformation dissolves the boundary between observer and observed. The novel neither humanises Area X nor wholly dehumanises the biologist. Each is Other to the other, and neither is the measure. This is relational otherness at its most austere: co-presence without mastery, with no kinship and no communication.

7. The Unequal Human: Social and Geological Otherness in *The Fifth Season*

7.1 Starting with the end of the world

Jemisin opens with a provocation: "Let's start with the end of the world, why don't we?" (Jemisin 1). The casual tone unsettles the apocalyptic convention in which the end of the world befalls a universal humanity. In *The Stillness*, ends of the world recur; Fifth Seasons of volcanic winter arrive every few centuries, and society is built around surviving them. What is new is that this end is deliberate, triggered by an orogene who splits the continent in revenge for what has been done to his kind. The dedication to those who must fight for the respect others receive without question (Jemisin, dedication) signals the novel's difference from Butler and VanderMeer. Here human society itself makes the human Other; no nonhuman encounter is needed.

7.2 Orogenes and the racialising assemblage

Orogeny is the manipulation of thermal, kinetic and related energies "to address seismic events" (Jemisin 462). Orogenes can stop earthquakes that would destroy cities. The society that relies on them sees them as dangerous things and calls them "roggas," a word that sounds like real-world racial insults on purpose. Orogene kids are killed by their communities. Taken by Guardians to a place called the Fulcrum. When a Guardian named Schaffa takes a child named Damaya, he breaks her hand to show her who's in charge while saying he loves her. Later, the Fulcrum tells another orogene named Syenite to have a baby with an orogene named Alabaster.

This is an example of Weheliyes idea of controlling humans into something that's not fully human (Weheliye 4) and Steur shows that controlling orogenes is like the old ways of using race as a way to control people (Steur). The "stills" fit into the idea of what a "Man" is (Wynter 260–63) while orogenes are seen as superhuman tools and also as dangerous threats. They show Jacksons idea of being shaped by violence (Jackson 3–4). The worst part is the maintainers: orogene kids who are made unable to feel and connected to machines that keep the Stillness working. They are alive. Have no identity. They act like part of the building proving Yusoffs point that race and earth are linked turning some people into resources, like the earth itself (Yusoff 2–5).

7.3 Father Earth and the decentering of the human

If orogenes reveal the unevenness of the human category, the planet reveals its limits. The stonelore names the earth "Father Earth," an angry presence; the trilogy confirms this personification, and the first novel already shows that the ground is no passive stage. Lee shows that seismic and volcanic events parallel and amplify characters' responses, making geology a structuring force (Lee). Form reinforces the decentring. Essun's chapters are narrated in the second person by an unnamed voice, placing the reader inside the Othered subject, and the narratives of Essun, Damaya and Syenite prove to be one life at different stages, a self fractured across time. Ferrández San Miguel reads the series as linking the exploitation of people and of nature as twin traumas open to posthuman regeneration (Ferrández San Miguel), and Wander shows that it answers Karera's charge that Anthropocene thinking erases "the racist origins of global warming" (Karera 38; Wander).

7.4 Toward relational otherness

The Fifth Season offers a doubled reversal. Within society, it shows humans making other humans Other. Between humans and the planet, it decentres the human as one agent among forces with geological forces own grievances. This shift pulls society and the earth closer together because the society that enslaves orogenes also treats the earth as an object of extraction. Relational otherness here means living with the earth depends on ending the system that turns some humans into tools. Essun survives without returning to humanity. Instead, Essun begins a search for community, such as the comm of Castrima, which Essun reaches at the close of the novel.

8. The Spoken Subject: Linguistic and Ontological Otherness in *Embassytown*

8.1 A colony that cannot speak

Embassytown inverts a persistent colonial hierarchy: the language of the colonizer as the medium of law and power, the natives as noise. On Arieka, the human settlement exists at the sufferance of the Ariekei, or Hosts, whose biotechnology sustains its atmosphere. The Hosts speak a Language, which requires two simultaneous voices from a single mind; no ordinary human can speak it. Colonial power rests on the Ambassadors, pairs of engineered clones raised to think and speak as one. A single human voice registers to the Hosts as noise, because for them language is inseparable from the presence of a mind. Weakland reads this invention as an estrangement of human signification (Weakland); it is also political. The humans occupy the position of the unintelligible native, and only a modified elite can mediate between them and power.

8.2 Becoming a figure of speech

Because Language can denote only what is literally true, the Hosts must step into comparisons. As a child, the narrator Avice Benner Cho is made to perform one: she is hurt in an old room and made to eat what is given her. With use, the simile will curtail so that the Hosts speak of "a girl ate what was given her" (Miéville 28). When people later tell her she was in a simile, she corrects them: "I am a simile" (41).

Of the four texts, this is the most ontologically radical version of the human as the Other. Avice's body and experience become material for a strange semiotic system; she does not speak Language, rather Language speaks her. The colonial practice of collecting native lives as specimens and examples is turned against a human subject. Turner reads Avice's "enLanguaging" through Derrida as a scene of ingestion in which the Hosts incorporate her as a figure, and takes seriously feminist objections that the novel's resolution depends on a young girl's suffering, arguing that the novel later generalises this trauma into the condition of all language (Turner).

8.3 EzRa, addiction and the violence of mediation

The crisis begins when the colonial metropole of Bremen sends a new Ambassador, EzRa, made of two individuals who are not clones. EzRa's voice, though it should be meaningless, intoxicates the Hosts, and Ariekei society breaks down into addiction. The colonial relation reaffirms itself through language: unable to speak as equals, humans govern by producing a speech the Hosts cannot resist. Some Hosts drag away the fanwings through which they hear, becoming the deaf "Absurd" who advance on Embassytown. Avice's husband, the linguist Scile, would rather reserve the Hosts as pure, literal beings than see them changed, an Orientalist fantasy of the unchanging Other that the novel discards.

8.4 Teaching the Hosts to lie

Avice's solution is to help a group of Hosts learn to lie, and from lying to metaphor. Through relentless play on her own simile, she moves her listeners from being *like* the girl to *being* her, telling them, "You are the girl" (Miéville 351), until the Hosts can themselves claim to be the girl who was hurt (362). The Ariekei have learned to speak like humans, "Not referring: signifying" (345). Freed from literal Language, they break the addiction and can speak to non-Ambassador humans.

The ending is morally complex. The Hosts escape EzRa's authority, and Avice becomes a mediator rather than an object of speech, yet the Hosts' radical alterity is reduced; Turner notes that their freedom is figured as learning to speak like humans (Turner). The Levinasian question is acute: has the human encountered a different consciousness or assimilated it? The novel's answer lies in its persistence that the change hurts and is mutual. Avice, who began as a figure in their speech, ends commanding a ship that carries Ariekei into the Out, toward a future neither species can forecast. Relational otherness here means sharing a language that belongs fully to neither party and that neither can control.

9. Comparative Discussion: From Inversion to Relational Otherness

9.1 Four mechanisms of reversal

The texts make the human Other through four mechanisms: each aimed at one pillar of exceptionalism. "Bloodchild" undoes the sovereign body: the human is a host whose interior is known and used by another species. *Annihilation* undoes the knowing mind: the human is a specimen rewritten by the field it came to study. *The Fifth Season* undoes the universal category:

some humans were always Other, produced by a racialising system that also treats the planet as an object. *Embassytown* undoes language as the sign of reason: humans are the unintelligible ones, and a human life becomes a figure in an alien tongue. Decentring the human can proceed through biology, knowledge, politics or meaning, and each route displaces a different privilege.

9.2 Inversion is not enough

The texts differ in whether they merely invert hierarchy. "Bloodchild" offers an inversion but never settles into it; Gan's negotiation does not lead to equality but to a relationship where the weaker party still holds claim. In *Embassytown,* inversion becomes dangerous—marginalized humans regain power through EzRa's voice only to restart the cycle of domination. *The Fifth Season* treats inversion as disaster. Alabaster's shattering of the continent overturns the Still's control over orogenes completely. The novel takes his rage seriously and shows that revenge alone cannot build a new world. *Annihilation* sidesteps inversion altogether since Area X does not even acknowledge the line between dominance and relation. In their ways, all four works move from inversion, toward relational otherness—toward a new grammar of connection where dependence, susceptibility and variance are not humiliations to be overcome but essential parts of existence.

9.3 Ethics of relational otherness

Levinas's ethics begins with the face of the Other that commands responsibility (Levinas 194–97). Each text places the human in the position of the face. Gan's vulnerability summons T'Gatoi's care and use; the biologist's contaminated body is studied by a presence that may not respond; orogenes' suffering is visible to a society that refuses to see it; Avice's hurt is taken up as meaning by beings who do not understand pain as she does. The lesson is double. Vulnerability is the shared condition of humanity, not its opposite, giving narrative form to Braidotti's life-centred ethics (Braidotti 60–61). Yet vulnerability guarantees nothing; as Haraway insists, multispecies entanglements involve asymmetry and harm (Haraway, *When Species Meet* 16–17). Relational otherness is the ongoing, unharmonious labour of remaking relations under conditions no party chose.

9.4 The unequal human

The most important finding of the comparison concerns the unequal distribution of the human. Both Butler and Jemisin approach the human as Other from a historical position in which that condition is not hypothetical. Butler denies that "Bloodchild" is a story of slavery (Butler, "Afterword" 30), but the tradition from Helford to Lillvis shows that its power is partly derived from its resonance with reproductive compulsion. Jemisin makes the point obvious: the human-made Other describes how the group of the human has functioned in modernity. VanderMeer and Miéville, working in the New Weird, tend to construct a more uniform human, then decentre it with the radically nonhuman. As Wynter, Weheliye and Jackson argue, a critique of "Man" is incomplete if it forgets those never granted his privileges (Wynter 260–63; Weheliye 4; Jackson 3–4). The Weird offers a vision of alterity beyond the human, while Black speculative fiction insists that power already produces the human as a position of alterity.

9.5 Form as decentering

The texts also decentre the human through form. Butler's first-person narration confines readers to Gan's adolescent understanding, so they learn the truth of Tlic reproduction through shock. VanderMeer's journal narration by a nameless biologist denies a stable human vantage. Jemisin's second-person address and fractured timelines make readers inhabit a subject split across history. Miéville's unexplained neologisms place readers in the position of the outsider inferring meaning from context, as Embassytown's humans must. Each form trains readers to occupy a position of not-knowing: of being, in a sense, the Other.

10. Conclusion

The posthuman speculative fictions like "Bloodchild," *Annihilation*, *The Fifth Season* and *Embassytown* flip the idea that humans are the main focus, making the human the Other. In "Bloodchild," Butler presents the human as a host whose body is known and used by another species. In *Annihilation,* VanderMeer shows a specimen whose knowledge breaks and whose body is rewritten. In *The Fifth Season,* Jemisin creates a category from which some humans have always been excluded by a system that also treats the earth as a resource. In *Embassytown*, Miéville portrays a native who is unintelligible to an alien linguistic order. These reversals are not inversions. Where inversion occurs, as in Ezra's voice or Alabaster's revenge, it turns out unstable or catastrophic because it keeps the logic of mastery. The stories instead move toward Otherness. Gans bargain with T'Gatoi, the biologists' acceptance of the brightness, Essun's search for community beyond the Fulcrum and Avice's co-creation of a new language are all examples; none is harmonious, and none puts the human back in the middle.

Thus, there is an academic focus on critical posthumanism that must be read together with Black studies critiques of the human. Butler and Jemisin show that the human, as Other, is a condition, while VanderMeer and Miéville take alterity beyond the human altogether. Each tradition gives what the other does not. Further study could try this kind of classification on Butler's *Xenogenesis Trilogy*, Nnedi Okorafor's *Lagoon,* and Ted Chiang's *Story of Your Life;* track the actions of Area X and Father Earth across the trilogies; and do a long study of how speculative narration places readers in the position of the Other.

What these texts share is a refusal of two comforting fictions: that the human is the natural master of a world arranged for its use, and that the end of that mastery will bring easy kinship with what lies beyond it. The decentred human survives only in relation, and none of these texts treats that relation as settled.

Works Cited

Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity Press, 2013.

Butler, Octavia E. "Afterword to 'Bloodchild.'" *Bloodchild and Other Stories*, 2nd ed., Seven Stories Press, 2005, pp. 30–32.

---. "Bloodchild." *Bloodchild and Other Stories*, 2nd ed., Seven Stories Press, 2005, pp. 1–29.

Canavan, Gerry. *Octavia E. Butler*. U of Illinois P, 2016.

Coby, Jim. "Reading Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation* in the Anthropocene." *Journal of Science Fiction*, vol. 4, no. 1, July 2020, pp. 15–16, publish.lib.umd.edu/index.php/scifi/article/download/516/897/2873.

Derrida, Jacques. *The Animal That Therefore I Am*. Edited by Marie-Louise Mallet, translated by David Wills, Fordham UP, 2008.

Ferrández San Miguel, María. "Ethics in the Anthropocene: Traumatic Exhaustion and Posthuman Regeneration in N. K. Jemisin's Broken Earth Trilogy." *English Studies*, vol. 101, no. 4, 2020, pp. 471–86, doi.org/10.1080/0013838X.2020.1798138.

Ferrando, Francesca. *Philosophical Posthumanism*. Bloomsbury Academic, 2019.

Fisher, Mark. *The Weird and the Eerie*. Repeater Books, 2016.

Haraway, Donna J. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Duke UP, 2016.

---. *When Species Meet*. U of Minnesota P, 2008.

Hazarika, Anupom Kumar. "Resisting Colonialist Politics Through Sex-Role Reversal: A Critical Reading of Octavia E. Butler's 'Bloodchild.'" *SFRA Review*, vol. 55, no. 2, 2025, sfrareview.org/2025/04/27/resisting-colonialist-politics-through-sex-role-reversal-a-critical-reading-of-octavia-e-butlers-bloodchild/.

Helford, Elyce Rae. "'Would You Really Rather Die than Bear My Young?': The Construction of Gender, Race, and Species in Octavia E. Butler's 'Bloodchild.'" *African American Review*, vol. 28, no. 2, 1994, pp. 259–71.

Iles, Alastair. "Repairing the Broken Earth: N. K. Jemisin on Race and Environment in Transitions." *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*, vol. 7, 2019, article 26.

Ivan, Alexandra Maria. "The Strain of Language in China Miéville's *Embassytown*: Between Multiculturalism, Oppression, and the Alien Society." *HyperCultura*, no. 9, 2021, pp. 1–11, doaj.org/article/459be61a004a43eebef1590da648f1b5.

Jackson, Zakiyyah Iman. *Becoming Human: Matter and Meaning in an Antiblack World*. New York UP, 2020.

Jemisin, N. K. *The Fifth Season*. Orbit, 2015.

Karera, Axelle. "Blackness and the Pitfalls of Anthropocene Ethics." *Critical Philosophy of Race*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2019, pp. 32–56.

Lee, Regina Y. "A New Geological (R)age: Orogeny, Anger, and the Anthropocene in N. K. Jemisin's *The Fifth Season*." *Science Fiction Studies*, vol. 50, no. 3, Nov. 2023, depauw.edu/sfs/abstracts/a151.html.

Le Guin, Ursula K. "*Embassytown* by China Miéville – Review." *The Guardian*, 30 Apr. 2011.

Levinas, Emmanuel. *Totality and Infinity: An Essay on Exteriority*. Translated by Alphonso Lingis, Duquesne UP, 1969.

Lillvis, Kristen. "Mama's Baby, Papa's Slavery? The Problem and Promise of Mothering in Octavia E. Butler's 'Bloodchild.'" *MELUS*, vol. 39, no. 4, 2014, pp. 7–22, doi.org/10.1093/melus/mlu037.

Miéville, China. *Embassytown*. Macmillan, 2011.

Mohseni, Hossein. "Mankind's Relationship with the Environment in Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation*." *Critical Literary Studies*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2023, pp. 45–62, doi.org/10.34785/j014.2023.016.

Morton, Timothy. *Dark Ecology: For a Logic of Future Coexistence*. Columbia UP, 2016.

Prendergast, Finola Anne. "Revising Nonhuman Ethics in Jeff VanderMeer's *Annihilation*." *Contemporary Literature*, vol. 58, no. 3, 2017, pp. 333–60, doi.org/10.3368/cl.58.3.333.

Robertson, Benjamin J. *None of This Is Normal: The Fiction of Jeff VanderMeer*. U of Minnesota P, 2018.

Steur, Danny. "Living Beyond Stonelore: Suturing towards Multi-epistemic Literacy in N. K. Jemisin's *The Broken Earth*." *SFRA Review*, vol. 52, no. 1, 2022, sfrareview.org/category/52-1/lsfrc-2022/.

Suvin, Darko. *Metamorphoses of Science Fiction: On the Poetics and History of a Literary Genre*. Yale UP, 1979.

Turner, Lynn. "Secrets and Lies: *Embassytown*, Ethics and Eating in the Darkness." Accepted manuscript for *Foundation: The International Review of Science Fiction*. *Goldsmiths Research Online*, eprints-gro.gold.ac.uk/33452/.

VanderMeer, Jeff. *Annihilation*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2014.

Wander, Misha Grifka. "Locating Blackness at the End of the World: N. K. Jemisin's Broken Earth and the Black Anthropocene." *SFRA Review*, vol. 51, no. 3, 2021, sfrareview.org/2021/07/20/locating-blackness-at-the-end-of-the-world-n-k-jemisins-broken-earth-and-the-black-anthropocene/.

Weakland, Joseph P. "'Forked Tongues': Languages of Estrangement in China Miéville's *Embassytown*." *Science Fiction Studies*, vol. 42, no. 1, 2015, pp. 78–98.

Weheliye, Alexander G. *Habeas Viscus: Racializing Assemblages, Biopolitics, and Black Feminist Theories of the Human*. Duke UP, 2014.

Wynter, Sylvia. "Unsettling the Coloniality of Being/Power/Truth/Freedom: Towards the Human, After Man, Its Overrepresentation—An Argument." *CR: The New Centennial Review*, vol. 3, no. 3, 2003, pp. 257–337.

Yusoff, Kathryn. *A Billion Black Anthropocenes or None*. U of Minnesota P, 2018.